

41




2874

RECEIVED

SEP 23 2003

Docket No.: 198334US8 MICROLOGY CENTER 2800

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313



ATTORNEYS AT LAW

RE: Application Serial No.: 09/741,824
Applicants: Kazuhisa KASHIHARA, et al.
Filing Date: December 22, 2000
For: ARRAYED WAVEGUIDE GRATING TYPE
OPTICAL MULTIPLEXER/DEMULTIPLEXER AND
OPTICAL WAVEGUIDE CIRCUIT
Group Art Unit: 2874
Examiner: LEE J.

SIR:

Attached hereto for filing are the following papers:

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Our check in the amount of \$0.00 is attached covering any required fees. In the event any variance exists between the amount enclosed and the Patent Office charges for filing the above-noted documents, including any fees required under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for any necessary Extension of Time to make the filing of the attached documents timely, please charge or credit the difference to our Deposit Account No. 15-0030. Further, if these papers are not considered timely filed, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for the necessary extension of time. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.



Bradley D. Lytle

Registration No. 40,073

Customer Number

22850

(703) 413-3000 (phone)
(703) 413-2220 (fax)
H:\198334\SHORT_CVR.DOC

Masayasu Mori

Registration No. 47,301



POCKET NO: 198334US8

RECEIVED

SEP 23 2003

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

IN RE APPLICATION OF :
KAZUHISA KASHIHARA, ET AL. : EXAMINER: LEE, J.
SERIAL NO: 09/741,824 :
FILED: DECEMBER 22, 2000 : GROUP ART UNIT: 2874
FOR: ARRAYED WAVEGUIDE :
GRATING TYPE OPTICAL
MULTIPLEXER/DEMULTIPLEXER AND
OPTICAL WAVEGUIDE CIRCUIT

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

SIR:

Responsive to the Official Action dated June 18, 2003, the following remarks
traversing the outstanding grounds for rejection are presented:

REMARKS

Favorable reconsideration of this application as presently amended is respectfully
requested.

Claims 1-45 are pending.

In the outstanding Office Action the drawings were identified as being informal; and
Claims 1-45 were provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 as being identical to Claims
1-23 and 45-56 of co-pending application no. 10/067,934.

In reply, Applicants will file formal drawings when the application is allowed as
stated on Page 2 of the Office Action dated June 18, 2003.